

Randy Renick, SBN 179652  
rrr@hadsellstormer.com  
Anne Richardson, SBN 151541  
arichardson@hadsellstormer.com  
Cornelia Dai, SBN 207435  
cdai@hadsellstormer.com  
HADSSELL STORMER  
RICHARDSON & RENICK, LLP  
128 N. Fair Oaks Avenue  
Pasadena, California 91103  
Telephone: 626.585.9600  
Facsimile: 626.577.7079

Joseph M. Sellers  
jsellers@cohenmilstein.com  
Christine E. Webber  
cwebber@cohenmilstein.com  
COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL, PLLC  
West Tower, Suite 500  
1100 New York Avenue  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: 202.408.4600  
Facsimile: 202.408.4699

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., SBN 132099  
tboutrous@gibsondunn.com  
Catherine A. Conway, SBN 98366  
cconway@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
Facsimile: 213.229.7520

Attorneys for Defendant WAL-MART STORES, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

BETTY DUKES, PATRICIA SURGESON, EDITH ARANA,  
DEBORAH GUNTER, and CHRISTINE KWAPNOSKI, on  
behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WAL-MART STORES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. C-01-2252-CRB

**STIPULATION AND  
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER  
REGARDING MOTION  
HEARING SCHEDULED  
FOR AUGUST 2, 2013**

The undersigned counsel, on behalf of Betty Dukes, Patricia Surgeson, Edith Arana, Deborah Gunter, and Christine Kwapnoski ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. ("Wal-Mart," and collectively with Plaintiffs, the "Parties") understand that Plaintiffs noticed and the Court set a

1 hearing for August 2, 2013 on Plaintiffs' motion to exclude the testimony of Dr. Edward Lazear  
2 submitted in support of Wal-Mart's opposition to class certification (Dkt. 961) and Plaintiffs' motion  
3 to strike the declaration of Mark Perry submitted in support of Wal-Mart's opposition to class  
4 certification (Dkt. 963).

5  
6 In light of the fact that the Court already held a hearing on class certification and related  
7 motions on June 28, 2013, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree that, unless the Court wishes to hear  
8 oral argument on August 2, 2013, they are willing to forego a hearing and submit these motions for  
9 consideration on the papers.

10 IT IS SO STIPULATED

11 Dated: July 24, 2013

12 By: /s/ Randy Renick  
13 Randy Renick, SBN 179652

By: /s/ Catherine A. Conway  
Catherine A. Conway, SBN 98366

14 HADSELL STORMER  
15 RICHARDSON & RENICK LLP  
16 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

**I, Catherine A. Conway attest that  
concurrence in the filing of this document has  
been obtained from the other signatory.**

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

☒ The motion hearing schedule for August 2, 2013 is hereby cancelled.

☐ The motion hearing scheduled for August 2, 2013 will proceed as scheduled.

PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: July 29, 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
CHARLES R. BREYER

